



**No. PPRA/AP-33/2025**  
Government of Pakistan  
**Public Procurement Regulatory Authority**  
**Appeal & Review Petition Secretariat**  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor, FBC Building, G-5/2, Islamabad  
<><><>

**ORDER**

M/s Naveed Constructions (Private) Limited

**...the "Appellant"**

Vs.

Federal Directorate of Education, M/o Federal Education and Professional Training & Others

**...the "Respondent(s)"**

<b>Dates of Hearings</b>	
<b>18.09.2025</b> <b>15.09.2025</b>	Hafiz Munawar Iqbal (ASC), Syed Pervez Zahoor (ASC), Mr. Maqsood Ali (AHC), Ch. Hashim Azeem (AHC) <b>(On behalf of Appellant)</b>  Mr. Aaroon Das (Project Director), Mr. Saeed Raza (Advocate) <b>(On behalf of Respondent i.e., M/o FE&amp;PT)</b>  Mr. Munir Ahmed (Project Manager) <b>(On behalf of Respondent i.e., M/s Sardar Ilyas Alam Const. Co. (Pvt) Ltd.)</b>

**APPEAL UNDER RULE 48(7) OF THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT RULES, 2004**

The above mentioned learned counsel(s) and representative(s) of the parties tendered appearance before the Appellate Committee on the day of hearing.

2. Through the instant Appeal, the Appellant i.e., M/s Naveed Constructions (Pvt.) Limited submitted that being one of the participants in the tender proceedings, they requested

the procuring agency to provide certified copies of the tender award documents issued in favor of M/s Sardar Ilyas Alam Construction Company (Pvt.) Ltd., along with the Pre-qualification Evaluation Report, however, the said request was not entertained by the procuring agency / the respondent. Consequently, the Appellant filed a complaint, which was not entertained and not responded by the procuring agency.

3. Furthermore, the appellant participated in the said bidding process i.e., Establishment of Danish School in Azad Jamu & Kashmir (Hari Ghel). Wherein, the pre-qualification documents of M/s Sardar Ilyas Alam Constructions Company (Pvt.) Ltd was accepted and declared as successful bidder by obtaining highest score amongst the participants. It is evident from the record that the bidding process was not processed /completed in accordance with the Public Procurement Rules, 2004 (PP Rules) while considering the financial capability, adequacy of experience of bidders and timely completion of public sector projects, hence, the appellant requested the procuring agency for providing information in respect of complete tender documents, evaluation sheets and reports, and work order issued to the successful bidder including all important documentation justifying and verifying the award decision, but all in vein. Hence, filed the instant appeal.

4. On the other side, learned representative of the respondent i.e., M/o Federal Education and Professional Training denied all the assertions made by the counsel of the

appellant and submitted their written reply and all relevant record regarding the said procurement on the day of hearing i.e., 18.09.2025.

5. Before entering into detail arguments, the counsel of the appellant stated before the open forum / Committee that they do not want to press the subject case anymore and withdraw the same. Upon this, no one objected the statement given by the counsel of the appellant.

6. In light of the above statement of the counsel of the appellant, the appeal in hand is hereby **dismissed being withdrawn** and disposed of accordingly.

  
**(Dr. Muhammad Aslam Waseem)**  
Director General (Legal)  
(Member)

  
**(Sheikh Afzal Raza)**  
Director (M&E)  
(Member)

  
**(Hasnat Ahmed Qureshi)**  
Managing Director (PPRA)  
(Chairman of the Committee)

*Each page of the order has been signed by all members of the Appellate Committee. The order comprises of three (03) pages.*

